

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

m		
1 JIV		

APPLICATION NO. CONFIRMATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 10/661,224 Partha Bhattacharya 11353-005-999 09/12/2003 6837 7590 03/26/2007 **EXAMINER CHRIS PALERMO** HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER TRAN, MYLINH T 2055 GATEWAY PLACE **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 550** SAN JOSE, CA 95110-1089 2179

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE

31 DAYS 03/26/2007 PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/661,224	BHATTACHARYA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Mylinh Tran	2179		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status	•				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 S	eptember 2003.			
2a)[This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
٠,٣	closed in accordance with the practice under E				
Dispositi	on of Claims	·			
4) ⊠	Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.	• ·			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-31 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Applicati	on Papers				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.		
·	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
- /.	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		ion No.		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
	application from the International Bureau	•			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	` ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '	ed.		
•		•			
Attachmen		۸	(DTO 440)		
_	 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ■ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 				
3) 🔲 Inform	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2179

121:

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C.

- I. Claims 1-7 and 16-31, drawn to a method of computer network monitoring, classified in class 715, subclass 736.
- II. Claims 8-15, drawn to a method of detection errors in computer network, classified in class 714, subclass 48.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are directed to related computer network. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed show monitoring a graph security incident information and show detection and recovery errors of security events. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction

Art Unit: 2179

is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Gary Williams on 03/15/07 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 2179

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mylinh Tran. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thu from 7:00AM to 3:00PM at 571-272-4141.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weilun Lo, can be reached at 571-272-4847.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

571-273-8300

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2179

Mylinh Tran

Art Unit 2179

WEILUN LO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER